

Anomaly Review

Kyungseon Lee

December 30, 2025

Seoul National University

Anomaly 정의

- 데이터 $X = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \sim P$
- P_0, P_1 : 정상 분포, 이상분포 $\sim p_0, p_1$ density
- 이상치는 x 가 P_0 하에서,

$$x \in \{x : p_0(x) \leq \tau_\alpha\}, P_0(p_0(x) \leq \tau_\alpha) = \alpha$$

- α : threshold probability
- τ_α : threshold

Problem

Unknown information

- P_0, P_1

Observed information

- Supervised: (X, Y) , $Y \in \{0, 1\}$ fully observed
- Semi-supervised: $X \sim P_0$
- Unsupervised: $X \sim (1 - \epsilon)P_0 + \epsilon P_1$
 - $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$: Unknown proportion

① Density Estimation

- Density를 추정하고, low density이면 이상치로 판단
- Parametric, Nonparametric / Neighborhood, Likelihood 계산, 근사, Density ratio

② Non-Density Methods

- Density 대신 다른 신호로 이상치를 구분
- Reconstruction, One-class boundary, Tree, Self-supervised

③ 방법론 외

- Likelihood 실패, Calibration, XAI 등

Density-based Method

- ① Parametric(U)
 - DAGMM [1], COPOD [2], PaDiMⁱ [3]
- ② Nonparametric / Neighborhood(U)
 - DBSCAN [4], 1998 Knorr & Ng [5], LOF [6],
- ③ Likelihood 계산 - Generative model(Semi)
 - MADE [7], RealNVP [8], PixelCNN++ⁱ [9]
- ④ Likelihood 근사 - Generative model(Semi)
 - VAE(2015 An & Cho [10]), DDPM
- ⑤ Typicality Failure: Likelihood가 outlier도 크게 나오는 현상
 - Do Deep Generative Models Know What They Don't Know? [11]
- ⑥ Density ratio(Semi)
 - Likelihood Ratios for OOD [12], ANODE [13]

① Reconstruction 기반(Semi)

- Autoencoder(ABAD [14], Robust Deep AE, MemAE [15], USAD [16], DRAEM [17], RePen [18])
- GAN(AnoGAN [19], GANomaly [20]),

② One-class boundary(Semi)

- One-Class SVM [21], Deep SVDD [22], Deep SAD [23], DevNet [24]

③ Tree 기반(U)

- Isolation Forest [25], Robust Random Cut Forest [26]

④ Self-supervised(U)

① Calibration

- Conformal e-value [27], Robust Conformal OD [28], Conformal Prediction with Cellwise Outliers [29], Conformal AD in Event Sequences [30]

② XAI

- AR-Pro [31], Dissect black box [32]

① Generalist AD

- ResAD [33], NaG [34]

② Foundation Model

- FoMo-0D [35], AnoLLM [36],

③ 그 외 도메인

- Graph [37], Time series [38], 3D [39], Online(Streaming Transformer) [40], Video [41], Causal [42], Mamba AD [43]

References

- [1] B. Zong, Q. Song, M. R. Min, W. Cheng, H. Luan, and W. Liu, **“Deep autoencoding gaussian mixture model for unsupervised anomaly detection,”** *International Conference on Learning Representations (ICLR)*, 2018. [Online]. Available: <https://openreview.net/forum?id=BJJLHbb0->.
- [2] E. A. Boateng et al., **“Two-phase dual copod method for anomaly detection in industrial control systems,”** *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.00982*, 2023. [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2305.00982>.

- [3] T. Defard, A. Setkov, A. Loesch, and R. Audigier, “**Padim: A patch distribution modeling framework for anomaly detection and localization,**” in *International Conference on Pattern Recognition (ICPR)*, 2021. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-030-68799-1_35. [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2011.08785>.
- [4] M. Ester, H.-P. Kriegel, J. Sander, and X. Xu, “**A density-based algorithm for discovering clusters in large spatial databases with noise,**” in *KDD*, 1996. [Online]. Available: <https://file.biolab.si/papers/1996-DBSCAN-KDD.pdf>.
- [5] E. M. Knorr and R. T. Ng, “**Algorithms for mining distance-based outliers in large datasets,**” in *VLDB*, 1998, pp. 392–403.

- [6] M. M. Breunig, H.-P. Kriegel, R. T. Ng, and J. Sander, “**Lof: Identifying density-based local outliers,**” in *SIGMOD*, 2000.
- [7] M. Germain, K. Gregor, I. Murray, and H. Larochelle, “**Made: Masked autoencoder for distribution estimation,**” in *ICML*, 2015. [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1502.03509>.
- [8] L. Dinh, J. Sohl-Dickstein, and S. Bengio, “**Density estimation using real nvp,**” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1605.08803*, 2016.
- [9] T. Salimans, A. Karpathy, X. Chen, and D. P. Kingma, “**Pixelcnn++: Improving the pixelcnn with discretized logistic mixture likelihood and other modifications,**” in *ICLR*, 2017.
- [10] J. An and S. Cho, “**Variational autoencoder for anomaly detection,**” *arXiv preprint*, 2015.

- [11] E. Nalisnick, A. Matsukawa, Y. W. Teh, D. Gorur, and B. Lakshminarayanan, **“Do deep generative models know what they don’t know?”** In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS)*, NeurIPS 2018 (published 2019), Likelihood failure classic, 1,200+ citations, vol. 32, 2019, pp. 6459–6470. [Online]. Available: <https://proceedings.neurips.cc/paper/2018/file/3fd5d9f60dc0d7c67a5d3ba517f7fcdf-Paper.pdf>.
- [12] J. Ren et al., **“Likelihood ratios for out-of-distribution detection,”** *arXiv preprint*, 2019.
- [13] D. Ippolito, E. Jin, A. Kannan, and M. Lewis, **“Anode: Unfolding the geometry of density estimation with autoregressive normalizing flows,”** *arXiv preprint*, 2019.

- [14] A. Pudji et al., “**Autoencoder-based anomaly detection model (abad) for money laundering detection,**” *IEEE Transactions*, 2024, Specific reference from multi-model framework study.
- [15] D. Gong et al., “**Memorizing normality to detect anomaly: Memory-augmented deep autoencoder (memae) for unsupervised anomaly detection,**” in *International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV)*, 2019. [Online]. Available: https://openaccess.thecvf.com/content_ICCV_2019/papers/Gong_Memorizing_Normality_to_Detect_Anomaly_ICCV_2019_paper.pdf.

- [16] J. Audibert, P. Michiardi, F. Guyard, S. Marti, and M. A. Zuluaga, “**Usad: Unsupervised anomaly detection on multivariate time series,**” *KDD*, 2020. [Online]. Available: <https://www.eurecom.org/en/publication/6271>.
- [17] V. Zavrtanik, M. Kristan, B. Škrlj, and B. Buh, “**Draem: A discriminatively trained reconstruction embedding for surface anomaly detection,**” in *International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV)*, 2021. [Online]. Available: https://openaccess.thecvf.com/content/ICCV2021/papers/Zavrtanik_DRAEM_A_Discriminatively_Trained_Reconstruction_Embedding_for_Surface_Anomaly_ICCV_2021_paper.pdf.

- [18] J. Yu, J. J. Lee, et al., **“Reconstruction by pen: Learning to generate anomaly-free images for anomaly detection,”** in *British Machine Vision Conference (BMVC)*, Penalty-enhanced reconstruction, 2021.
- [19] T. Schlegl, P. Seeböck, S. M. Waldstein, U. Schmidt-Erfurth, and G. Langs, **“Unsupervised anomaly detection with generative adversarial networks to guide marker discovery,”** in *IPMI*, 2017.
- [20] S. Akcay, A. Atapour-Abarghouei, and T. P. Breckon, **“Ganomaly: Semi-supervised anomaly detection via adversarial training,”** *Asian Conference on Computer Vision (ACCV)*, 2018. [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1805.06725>.

- [21] B. Schölkopf, R. C. Williamson, A. J. Smola, J. Shawe-Taylor, and J. C. Platt, “**Support vector method for novelty detection,**” *NIPS*, 1999.
- [22] L. Ruff et al., “**Deep one-class classification,**” *ICML*, 2018.
[Online]. Available: <https://ls9-www.cs.tu-dortmund.de/publications/ICML2018.pdf>.
- [23] L. Ruff, R. A. Vandermeulen, N. Görnitz, A. Binder, E. Müller, and M. Klof, “**Deep semi-supervised anomaly detection,**” *ICLR*, 2020. [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1906.02694>.

- [24] G. Pang, C. Shen, and A. van den Hengel, “**Deep anomaly detection with deviation networks,**” in *ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery & Data Mining (KDD)*, 569 citations, Deviation score learning, 2019, pp. 353–362. DOI: 10.1145/3292500.3330877.
- [25] F. T. Liu, K. M. Ting, and Z.-H. Zhou, “**Isolation forest,**” *ICDM*, 2008. [Online]. Available: <https://www.lamda.nju.edu.cn/publication/icdm08b.pdf>.
- [26] S. Guha, G. Michailidis, and S. Muthukrishnan, “**Robust random cut forest based anomaly detection on streams,**” *International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML)*, 2016. [Online]. Available: <https://proceedings.mlr.press/v48/guha16.pdf>.

- [27] P. Grunwald, P. Höllinger, A. Ramdas, and V. Vovk, “**Conformal e-testing,**” *Annals of Statistics*, vol. 49, no. 6, pp. 3051–3079, 2021. [Online]. Available: <https://alrw.net/articles/29.pdf>.
- [28] M. Bashari, M. Sesia, and Y. Romano, “**Robust conformal outlier detection under contaminated reference data,**” in *International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML)*, vol. 267, PMLR, 2025, pp. 3091–3141. DOI: 10.48550/arXiv.2502.04807. [Online]. Available: <https://icml.cc/virtual/2025/poster/43852>.
- [29] Q. Peng, Y. Bao, Y. Li, and Y.-X. Wang, “**Conformal prediction with cellwise outliers: A detect-then-impute framework,**” in *International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML)*, ICML 2025 Poster, PDI-CP & JDI-CP, vol. 267, 2025. [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2505.04986>.

- [30] J. Park, M. Lee, and T. Kim, “**Conformal anomaly detection in event sequences,**” in *International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML)*, ICML 2025, Time-rescaling theorem, 2025. [Online]. Available:
<https://openreview.net/forum?id=Cq7XU5tmP8>.
- [31] X. Ji et al., “**Ar-pro: Counterfactual explanations for anomaly repair,**” *NeurIPS*, 2024. [Online]. Available:
<https://arxiv.org/abs/2410.24178>.

- [32] Y. Zhang et al., “**Dissect black box: Interpreting for rule-based explanations in unsupervised anomaly detection,**” in *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS)*, NeurIPS 2024, SCD-Tree method, 2024. [Online]. Available:
https://proceedings.neurips.cc/paper_files/paper/2024/hash/99261adc8a6356b38bcf999bba9a26dc-Paper-Conference.pdf.
- [33] X. Yao, Z. Chen, C. Gao, G. Zhai, and C. Zhang, “**Resad: A simple framework for class generalizable anomaly detection,**” in *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS)*, NeurIPS 2024 Spotlight Paper, 2024. DOI: 10.48550/arXiv.2410.20047. [Online]. Available:
<https://arxiv.org/abs/2410.20047>.

- [34] J. Kyung et al., “**Normal-abnormal guided generalist anomaly detection (nag),**” in *arXiv preprint arXiv:2510.00495*, NAGL framework, normal+abnormal references, 2024. [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2510.00495>.
- [35] A. Chicharito et al., “**Fomo-0d: A foundation model for zero-shot tabular outlier detection,**” in *ICLR*, Prior-data fitted, zero-shot tabular OD, 2025. [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2511.05245>.
- [36] Y. Liu et al., “**Anollm: Large language models for tabular anomaly detection,**” in *ICLR*, LLM for mixed-type tabular AD, 2025. [Online]. Available: <https://openreview.net/forum?id=7VkHfft5X2>.

- [37] H. Kim, J. Park, J. Na, et al., **“Graph anomaly detection with graph neural networks: Current status and challenges,”** *IEEE Access*, vol. 10, pp. 111 820–111 829, 2022, 179 citations, comprehensive GNN survey. DOI: 10.1109/ACCESS.2022.3218877.
- [38] Q. Wen et al., **“Deep learning for time series anomaly detection: A survey,”** *arXiv preprint arXiv:2211.05244*, 2023, Highly cited comprehensive survey, 500+ citations.
- [39] J. Yu et al., **“Towards scalable 3d anomaly detection and localization,”** in *CVPR*, CVPR 2024, state-of-the-art 3D AD, 2024. [Online]. Available: <https://cvpr.thecvf.com/virtual/2024/poster/30175>.

- [40] J. Park et al., “**Streaming anomaly detection with adaptive windowing and transformer integration,**” *KDD*, 2025, KDD 2025, Most Influential KDD Paper 2025-03, Real-time streaming AD SOTA.
- [41] A. Singh, M. J. Jones, and E. Learned-Miller, “**Explainable video anomaly localization,**” in *CVPR*, CVPR 2023, video AD SOTA, MERL research, 2023.
- [42] X. Li et al., “**Causal disentanglement learning for accurate anomaly detection,**” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2510.11084*, 2025, Causal anomaly detection, NeurIPS-level.
- [43] A. Sellam et al., “**Maat: Mamba adaptive anomaly transformer with association discrepancy,**” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2502.07858*, 2025, Mamba-based TS AD, latest SOTA.