XBART : Accelerated Bayesian Additive Regression Trees

Reviewer : Seokhun Park



Department of Statistics Seoul National University

- Preliminaries
- Prior
- Posterior sampling

- Introduction
- Proposed method

Review of Bayesian Additive Regression Trees (BART) Preliminaries

- Prior
- Posterior sampling

- $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, ..., x_p)^\top \subseteq \mathcal{X} : p$ -dimensional input vector.
- Terminal node : a node with no child nodes.
- Let \mathcal{T} be a binary tree structure consists of a set of interior node decision rules and a set of terminal nodes.
- For a given \mathcal{T} , let M be a set of height values for terminal nodes.
- Then, we define $g(\mathbf{x} : \mathcal{T}, M)$ as a decision tree for \mathcal{T} and M.

Example



Figure 1: Example of \mathcal{T} and M.

- $\mathcal{T} =$ blue dashed line.
- $M = \{\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3\}.$

• We consider a standard nonparametric regression model given as

$$Y = f(\mathbf{x}) + \epsilon, \quad \epsilon \sim N(0, \sigma^2).$$

• To approximate *f*, BART assumes that

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{t=1}^{T} g(\mathbf{x} : \mathcal{T}_t, M_t).$$
(1)

 BART estimates (*T*₁, *M*₁), ..., (*T*_T, *M*_T) using Bayesian approach. (Generating {*T_i*, *M_i*}^{*T*}_{*i*=1} from a posterior distribution.)

- Preliminaries
- Prior
- Posterior sampling

• For a given \mathcal{T}_t , we have

$$M_t = (\mu_{1t}, ..., \mu_{bt}), \tag{2}$$

where b_t is the number of terminal node in \mathcal{T}_t for t = 1, ..., T

• Therefore, we need to set the prior distribution for \mathcal{T}_t , $\mu_{kt}|\mathcal{T}_t$ and σ , where b_t is the number of terminal node in \mathcal{T}_t .

- For t = 1, ..., T and $k = 1, ..., b_t$, $\mu_{kt} | \mathcal{T}_t \sim N(0, \sigma_{\mu}^2)$.
- $\sigma^2 \sim IG\left(\frac{v}{2}, \frac{v\lambda}{2}\right)$, where IG(a, b) is the inverse gamma distribution with the shape parameter a and scale parameter b.

- For a given $t \in [T]$, $\pi(\mathcal{T}_t)$ consists of $\pi_{\text{split}}(b)$ and $\pi_{\text{split rule}}(b)$ for each node b in \mathcal{T}_t .
- π_{split}(b) := α(1 + depth_b)^{-β}
 → Probability of splitting at node b.
- π_{split rule}(b) := π(Split variable)π(Split value|Split variable)
 → Probability of (uniformly) selecting rule at node b.

- Preliminaries
- Prior
- Posterior sampling

- Let $\mathcal{D} = \{(\mathbf{x}_i, y_i)\}_{i=1}^n$ be a observed data.
- We generate

$$(\mathcal{T}_1, M_1), \dots, (\mathcal{T}_T, M_T), \sigma$$

from

$$\pi((\mathcal{T}_1, M_1), ..., (\mathcal{T}_T, M_T), \sigma | \mathcal{D})$$

using a Gibbs sampling and MH algorithm.

• For
$$t \in [T]$$
, we generate (\mathcal{T}_t, M_t) from

$$\pi(\mathcal{T}_t, M_t | \mathcal{T}_{(-t)}, M_{(-t)}, \sigma, \mathcal{D}),$$
(3)

where $\mathcal{T}_{(-t)}$ means the set of all tree structure except \mathcal{T}_t .

• Above sampling is equal to

$$(\mathcal{T}_t, M_t) \sim \pi(\mathcal{T}_t, M_t | \operatorname{Resid}_t, \sigma),$$
 (4)

where
$$\operatorname{Resid}_t = \{y_i - \sum_{j \neq t} g(\mathbf{x}_i : \mathcal{T}_j, M_j), i = 1, ..., n\}.$$

Since

$$\pi(\mathcal{T}_t, M_t | \text{Resid}_t, \sigma) = \pi(\mathcal{T}_t | \text{Resid}_t, \sigma) \pi(M_t | \text{Resid}_t, \sigma, \mathcal{T}_t),$$

we first generate \mathcal{T}_t from $\pi(\mathcal{T}_t | \text{Resid}_t, \sigma)$ and then, generate M_t from $\pi(M_t | \text{Resid}_t, \sigma, \mathcal{T}_t)$.

- $\mathcal{T}_t \sim \pi(\mathcal{T}_t | \text{Resid}_t, \sigma)$ using MH algorithm.
- $M_t \sim \pi(M_t | \text{Resid}_t, \sigma, \mathcal{T}_t)$ using conjugate property.

MH algorithm proposes \mathcal{T}^{new} using one of GROW, PRUNE, and CHANGE.

- GROW : growing tree by splitting randomly selected terminal node.
- PRUNE : pruning randomly selected node among the nodes with two terminal nodes.
- CHANGE : changing rule in the randomly selected node among the nodes with two terminal nodes.

XBART : Accelerated Bayesian Additive Regression Trees Introduction

Proposed method

- In standard BART MCMC, each regression tree is updated using local, random-walk MH proposals (e.g., birth/death moves) that make only minor adjustments to the tree. As a result, the convergence of MCMC may be slow.
- They proposed a method called stochastic hill climbing algorithm to replace the MH algorithm, and experimentally demonstrated that the efficiency of this algorithm.

XBART : Accelerated Bayesian Additive Regression Trees Introduction

• Proposed method

Grow-from-root : Generating entirely new tree structure

- When generating $\mathcal{T}_t \sim \pi(\mathcal{T}_t | \text{Resid}_t, \sigma, \mathcal{D})$, they ignore the current tree and grow an **entirely new tree structure from scratch**.
- To generate entirely new tree structure, they sample **Rule** in each terminal node using Bayes rule.
- Let Resid_t be the residual assigned to terminal node *b*, then **Rule** for terminal node *b* are generated from

$$\pi(\mathbf{Rule}|\mathsf{Resid}_t,\sigma) = \frac{\pi(\mathsf{Resid}_t|\mathbf{Rule},\sigma)\pi(\mathbf{Rule})}{\sum_{\mathbf{Rule}'}\pi(\mathsf{Resid}_t|\mathbf{Rule}',\sigma)\pi(\mathbf{Rule}')}$$

Algorithm 1 GROW-FROM-ROOT(Root node *b*, Data \mathcal{D} , σ)

Input: Root node *b*, Data \mathcal{D} , variance σ

Output: Grown tree starting from root node b

- 1: Sample Rule using Bayes' rule
- 2: if Split == TRUE then
- 3: Create left child node b_L and right child node b_R
- 4: Assign \mathcal{D} to b_L and b_R based on **Rule**
- 5: GROW-FROM-ROOT(b_L , \mathcal{D}_L , σ)
- 6: **GROW-FROM-ROOT** $(b_R, \mathcal{D}_R, \sigma)$
- 7: end if

- The proposed algorithm called stochastic hill climbing algorithm is not a fully bayesian method.
- The method of using the GROW-FROM-ROOT to generate a new tree as the proposal distribution for MH is also mentioned, but there is no experimental proof of its effectiveness.

Thank You

References